

Message

From: Sanchez, Yolanda [Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/26/2019 12:53:57 AM
To: Hage, Christopher [hage.christopher@epa.gov]
CC: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Yogi, David [Yogi.David@epa.gov]
Subject: Potential Disagreement between the EPA and Navy
Attachments: 2019-3-15 Ltr from Navy to EPA re Parcel G Workplan 5YR BPMO-19-06.pdf

Chris,

I assume Mike may have let you know about the ongoing back-and-forth between him and the Navy. There has also been some communications between Enrique and Laura Dushnak, as well as between Mike and Speaker Pelosi's office.

Soon, we are sending a *short* letter in response to the Navy's March 13th letter (attached). Our letter will request a meeting with the Navy to further discuss the substance of their letter. This is separate and will be sent prior to our "path forward" letter we have been working on, which you have seen. Our path forward letter will be sent at some point after.

Angeles is out for the next two weeks. Feel free to reach out to me, if you have any questions.

Yolanda Anita Sanchez, MS, MPA

US Environmental Protection Agency || Region 9 || **Superfund Division** || Community Involvement

Desk: 415-972-3880

"Start where you are. Use what you have. Do what you can." - Arthur Ashe

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Date: March 22, 2019 at 8:50:55 AM PDT
To: "Leff, Karin" <Leff.Karin@epa.gov>, "Gervais, Gregory" <Gervais.Gregory@epa.gov>
Cc: "Dalzell, Sally" <Dalzell.Sally@epa.gov>, "Burchette, John" <Burchette.John@epa.gov>, "Herrera, Angeles" <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>, "Chesnutt, John" <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>, "Maldonado, Lewis" <Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov>, "Butler, Thomas" <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>, "Fairbanks, Brianna" <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>, "Woolford, James" <Woolford.James@epa.gov>, "Stalcup, Dana" <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>, "Lyons, John" <Lyons.John@epa.gov>, "Yogi, David" <Yogi.David@epa.gov>, "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>, "Sanchez, Yolanda" <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Potential Disagreement between the EPA and Navy - RA email to Karnig

FYSA

From: Stoker, Michael B.
Sent: Friday, March 22, 2019 8:47 AM
To: karnig.ohannessian@navy.mil
Subject: Potential Disagreement between the EPA and Navy

Good afternoon Karnig:

Enrique passed along the email below from his staff relaying the navy's intention to release in final the parcel G Workplan and the Hunters Point 5 year review. Last Friday, your San Diego office also sent Enrique and our state partners a letter announcing your decision to adopt a DOE radionuclide risk assessment methodology rather than the PRG methodology that has been at the center of our dialogue to date on Hunters Point.

My staff will promptly review these latest products from the Navy in accordance with provisions of the Federal Facility Agreement and its dispute provisions. Based on some preliminary feedback, I am concerned that the Navy's latest submittals are inconsistent with EPA CERCLA policy and a step backwards from a coherent and transparent approach to this controversial and challenging dilemma at the Hunters Point superfund site.

Per my discussions with you last month during our short meeting, I wanted to fulfill my standing commitment to alert you to potential areas of public disagreement.

Feel free to contact me at your convenience if you wish to discuss this further.

Best Regard
Mike Stoker
Regional Administrator, Region 9, US-EPA
(213) 215-3104

Begin forwarded message:

From: "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>
Date: March 21, 2019 at 6:06:28 PM PDT
To: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Cc: "Herrera, Angeles" <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>, "Chesnutt, John" <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>, "Maldonado, Lewis" <Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov>, "Fairbanks, Brianna" <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>, "Sanchez, Yolanda" <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>, "Yogi, David" <Yogi.David@epa.gov>, "Butler, Thomas" <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: Navy plans to issue final versions Friday morning of Hunters Point 5YR and Parcel G WP

Dear Enrique,

Stephen Banister, Navy RPM lead on the Five Year Review just called to give me a courtesy heads up that this afternoon his management met and decided that tomorrow morning, the Navy will issue final versions of the Hunters Point Five Year Review and the Parcel G Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan. The Navy will send EPA a link to electronic versions of both documents. Hard copies will come by mail next week.

The Five Year Review will not include any updated evaluations of current Remedial Goals (RGs) in the Records of Decision (RODs). It will defer evaluation of protectiveness of ROD RGs until after new testing results from Parcel G. The Parcel G Work Plan will use the current ROD RGs for decisions about 1) what levels would trigger the need to clean up material and 2) how sensitive the testing methods need to be.

EPA has not submitted our final written comments on the previous draft versions of these documents because we have been working to resolve issues through informal verbal discussions.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss further.

Lily